

# Resilient, Energy Efficient Image Sensor Network in Lossy Channel

Nishat Bano<sup>1</sup>, Monauwer Alam<sup>2</sup>, Shish Ahmad<sup>3</sup>

Research Scholar, Department of Electronics Engineering, Integral University, Lucknow, India<sup>1</sup>

Associate Professor, Department of Electronics Engineering, Integral University, Lucknow, India<sup>2</sup>

Associate Professor, Department of Computer Science, Integral University, Lucknow, India<sup>3</sup>

**ABSTRACT:**The set partitioning in hierarchical trees (SPIHT) consist of linked lists to track coefficients, thus requiring large memory. In this paper, we propose a resilient and energy-efficient SPIHT image compression scheme with lifting scheme to calculate the discrete wavelet transformed coefficients of image. A state table is used instead of lists which uses 0.25 bit per coefficient marker to keep track of set partitions, thus replacing dynamic memory. The reduction in memory requirement facilitates image transmission over energy constrained wireless sensor network. Simulation results show that the listless SPIHT coder saves 96.35% energy which is resilient also.

**KEYWORDS:**Listless Set Partitioning in Hierarchical Trees (Listless SPIHT), Lifting Wavelet transform, Wireless sensor network.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, low cost, low power and tiny complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) cameras have grown interest in wireless multimedia sensor network which is a network of interconnected devices that are deployed to recover multimedia data (i.e. still image, audio and video) [1]. A huge amount of data is produced by camera sensors which are constrained in terms of memory, computation and energy. The compression of data in an efficient way before processing and transmission over sensor network without degrading the image quality is a challenging task. Low memory image compression has achieved importance in applications where processing and storage on portable devices (such as smart phones, tablets etc.), wireless transmission, streaming data on the Internet and transmission in band limited channels is considered [2].

Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) has multiresolution image representation which provides efficient coding algorithms that outperforms the DCT-based JPEG standard. Shapiro introduced the original zero tree algorithm, called embedded zerotree wavelet (EZW) which is efficient and computationally simple technique [3]. The performance of EZW is further enhanced by presenting a more efficient and faster technique called set partitioning in hierarchical tree (SPIHT) developed by Said and Pearlman which has excellent rate-distortion performance [4]. For high resolution images, SPIHT needs large memory bank which in turn increases cost of hardware and hence consumes more energy.

SPIHT coder uses three encoding/decoding lists to store the indices of wavelet coefficients. At high rates, list nodes can be more in number than the wavelet coefficients. The drawback of SPIHT is its high memory requirement to maintain these lists which increases the implementation cost. No list SPIHT (NLS) was proposed in [5] with performance very close to original SPIHT. NLS uses special markers placed in state table which is a fixed size array, with about four bits per coefficient to keep track of set partitions. In this paper, a resilient and low memory listless SPIHT image coder is proposed which minimizes the total energy consumption by the sensor network.

The organization of the paper is as follows: Section II presents the related work. Section III briefly presents the background of lifting wavelet transform, listless SPIHT coder and energy consumption analysis. Section IV explains linear indexing and state table marker in brief and then presents the proposed coder. Section V presents simulation results and discussions. Section VI concludes the paper.

# International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: [www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com)

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

## II. RELATED WORK

Over the past years, significant efforts are done which reduces the energy consumption of the wavelet-based image coders. D. Pham and S.M. Aziz [6] presented architecture and protocol that uses low complexity JPEG2000 image compression technique in energy constrained WSN that consumes less energy and is fast. Sensor nodes are power constrained. H. Wu and A. Abouzeid [7] proposed a distributed JPEG2000 that distributes the amount of work of wavelet transform to several nodes from source to the sink, thus eliminates computation power restriction of single nodes and increasing the lifetime of network upto four fold. M. Nasriet.al.[8] attempted to conserve energy using Skipped high pass subbands. Here multiple packets are combined, processed and multiple copies are transmitted over network to reduce lifetime. The computational energy saving for SHPS technique is high for different compression levels, hence energy is conserved. D. M. Pham and S. M. Aziz [9] presented a reliable image transmission protocol to remove packet errors and an efficient object extraction algorithm is also given that reduces the energy consumption of transmitted image. M. Nasriet. al. [10] proposed a priority image compression technique based on wavelet transformation. A. Chefi and G. Sicard [11] proposed a low power SPIHT based image compression technique with hardware and software implementation in terms of energy conservation. Y.Rahman et.al. [12] presented a novel object extraction technique that transmits image segments minimizing in-node energy consumption.

## III. BACKGROUND

### A. Lifting Wavelet Transform

Sweldens proposed lifting scheme for second generation fast wavelet transform [13]. Lifting scheme require less operations and performs in-place computation, so it does not require extra memory buffer. The wavelet transform has two finite impulse response (FIR) analysis filters  $\check{h}$  and  $\check{g}$  and two FIR synthesis filters  $h$  and  $g$ . The polyphase matrix  $\acute{P}(z)$  and its dual  $P(z)$  can be expressed as

$$\acute{P}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \check{h}e(z) & \check{h}o(z) \\ \check{g}e(z) & \check{g}o(z) \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

$$P(z) = \begin{bmatrix} he(z) & ho(z) \\ ge(z) & go(z) \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

The lazy wavelet transform (polyphase transform) subsamples the even and odd coefficients of input signal and produce low pass and high pass output samples,  $f_L$  and  $f_H$  respectively. The forward Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) and inverse DWT can be written as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} fL(z) \\ fH(z) \end{bmatrix} = \acute{P}(z) \begin{bmatrix} xe(z) \\ z^{-1}xo(z) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} xe(z) \\ z^{-1}xo(z) \end{bmatrix} = P(z) \begin{bmatrix} fL(z) \\ fH(z) \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

If the determinant of polyphase matrix  $\acute{P}(z)$  is 1, then the analysis filter ( $\check{h}, \check{g}$ ) as well as synthesis filter ( $h, g$ ) are complementary. The polyphase matrix  $\acute{P}(z)$  can be factorized into primal and dual lifting using Laurent polynomials  $\acute{s}_i(z)$  and  $\acute{t}_i(z)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and a constant  $K$  as

$$\acute{P}(z) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \acute{s}_i(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \acute{t}_i(z) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & 1/K \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

Primal Lifting    Dual Lifting    Scaling

and its dual as

$$P(z) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\acute{t}_i(z^{-1}) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\acute{s}_i(z^{-1}) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1/K & 0 \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

# International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: [www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com)

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

The lifting based forward wavelet transform consists of following steps:

- (i) Apply the lazy transform by decomposing the input signal into even and odd samples.
- (ii) The primal and dual lifting steps are executed.
- (iii) The output streams are scaled by  $1/K$  and  $K$  to produce low pass and high pass subbands respectively.

The inverse DWT performs these steps in reverse order.

## B. Listless SPIHT

No list SPIHT is a listless version of SPIHT which uses markers in place of lists to keep track of set partitions [5]. It uses same set partitioning rules as SPIHT and performs strictly breadth first search. Special markers are placed at lower nodes of trees and updated. A large part of image is skipped performing breadth first search scan. It consists of three passes: Insignificant Set Pass, Insignificant Pixel Pass and refinement pass. The state table stores information with 4 bits per coefficient marker.

## C. Energy Consumption Analysis

A multihop wireless sensor network with few assumptions is considered. The characteristics of all sensors are the same. During the transmission of an image from source to destination, the energy of a node remains the same. When an image is transmitted from source to destination with  $n$  intermediate nodes in between, a steady network path is established. A radio transceiver energy model is considered for energy analysis [14]. The energy consumption in  $k$ -byte message transmission is

$$E_{TX} = (E_c + E_a d^\alpha) \tag{7}$$

The energy consumption in  $k$ -byte message reception is

$$E_{RX} = E_c \cdot k \tag{8}$$

The energy consumption in DWT of  $k$ -bytes is

$$E_{DWT} = E_{DWT} \cdot k \tag{9}$$

For  $k$ -bytes of message, the energy consumed in entropy coding is given by

$$E_{ENT} = E_{ENT} \cdot k \tag{10}$$

## IV. PROPOSED CODER

### A. Linear Indexing

Linear indexing allows a single number instead of two (one for row and another for column) to represent a coefficient of an image. Consider an image  $\hat{I}$  which consists of  $\hat{R}$  rows and  $\hat{C}$  columns. The linear index  $i$  varies from 1 to  $\hat{I}$  where  $\hat{I} = \hat{R}\hat{C}$ . Consider an example of wavelet transformed image of size  $8 \times 8$  shown in Figure 1 that arranges the coefficients from higher energy to lower energy with one index.

1	2	5	6	17	18	21	22
3	4	7	8	19	20	23	24
9	10	13	14	25	26	29	30
11	12	15	16	27	28	31	32
33	34	37	38	49	50	53	54
35	36	39	40	51	52	55	56
41	42	45	46	57	58	61	62
43	44	47	48	59	60	63	64

Fig. 1. Two level linear indexing for an image of size  $8 \times 8$

# International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: [www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com)

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

It performs one operation on set coefficients instead of two. Linear indexing uses single level of iteration to represent tree set coefficients, viz.  $i = i+1, i+2, i+3, i+4$ . The length of linear indices for offsprings in a tree is 4 and for grandchildren it is 16. Linear indexing performs Z-scan that facilitates breadth first search of set partitions.

## B. State Table Marker

The state table is named as mark which is an array of length  $\hat{I}$  that stores the magnitude part of the coefficients and sign part as array *sign*. No symbols are used to mark pixels. Only two markers are placed in the state table that keeps track the set partition coefficients, 1 bit per coefficient. The markers which are used, given below:

MDES: The tree root pixel is the direct child in a set consisting of all descendants of its parent.

MGRANDES: The tree root pixel is the grandchild in a set consisting of all granddescendants of its grandchild excluding the children of grandparent root pixel.

## C. Low Memory Listless SPIHT (LMLS)

Low memory listless SPIHT is a listless form of SPIHT with reduced markers. This replaces the linked lists to store the coefficients of image of size  $R \times C$  with small size static memory. An image is transformed using lifting wavelet transform. These transformed coefficients are stored in linear array with the high energy coefficients storing first in descending order. The low memory listless SPIHT follows the set partitioning method of listless SPIHT (NLS). The pseudo code of the proposed coder is given below. It starts encoding in a progressive fashion starting from most significant bit plane with a set threshold decrementing down to zero until a prescribed bit budget is met. This coder does not use any marker to mark the state of pixels. This uses only two markers to mark the state of descendant and granddescendant sets MDES and MGRANDES respectively.

## V. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Low memory listless SPIHT is implemented and simulated on MATLAB software. At low bit rates the performance of the proposed coder is slightly inferior to other listless coders but at higher bitrates, its performance is better compared to other state of the art listless coders.

### A. Memory analysis

No list SPIHT (NLS) [5] algorithm needs fixed size static memory to store the state of pixels and sets. This requirement depends only on the image size and is independent of encoding/decoding bitrates. NLS uses 4 bits per coefficient marker to track the state, therefore the static memory for NLS is

$$M_{NLS} = 4 RC \text{ bits} \quad (11)$$

Memory efficient listless SPIHT (MELS) algorithm [15] uses only four markers to define the state of trees/sets, but in hierarchical arrangement of wavelet coefficients, only one-fourth of total nodes need to be assigned SMT markers. Thus the total memory requirement for MELS algorithm is

$$M_{MELS} = 2(RC/4) = 0.5 RC \text{ bits} \quad (12)$$

Low Memory Listless SPIHT (LMLS) algorithm does not use any marker to define the state of the pixels; therefore it does not use even a single bit to define the state of pixel. LMLS uses only two markers to define the state of trees/sets. The total memory requirement for LMLS algorithm is

$$M_{LMLS} = RC/4 = 0.25 RC \text{ bits} \quad (13)$$

For an image of size  $512 \times 512$  with  $R = 512$  and  $C = 512$ , the memory required for NLS, MELS and LMLS algorithms are as follows:

$$M_{NLS}: M_{MELS}: M_{LMLS} = 131072: 16384: 8192$$

Therefore from the above discussion, it can be concluded that the LMLS algorithm is more memory efficient than NLS and MELS coding algorithms.

# International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: [www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com)

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

## B. Energy consumption

We consider a network with 50 nodes randomly placed in an area with source node close to center and destination node varies as distance from 1 to 25 hops. From Joule Track [16], the value of  $\epsilon_{DWT}$  and  $\epsilon_{ENT}$  is estimated to be 1.76  $\mu$ Joule/byte and 0.16  $\mu$ Joule/byte respectively. For the wireless energy model [17], the typical values of parameters are  $\epsilon_a=100 \times 10^{-12}$  and  $\epsilon_e=50 \times 10^{-9}$ . The communication range of a node,  $d$  is taken as 10 m and is fixed for all nodes. The path loss parameter  $\alpha$  is chosen as 2.

The energy consumed for transmission and reception of a single bit at one node is calculated using equation (7) and (8). The energy consumption for NLS, MELS and LMLS is calculated.

Table 1. Energy Consumption (in mJ) of listless techniques for image of size 512x512

No. of Nodes	Energy consumption (mJ)		
	NLS	MELS	LMLS
10	1845.494	230.6867	115.3434
20	2369.782	296.2227	148.1114
30	3523.215	440.4019	220.201
40	4676.649	584.5811	292.2906
50	5830.083	728.7603	364.3802

The energy consumption at different nodes for various coding techniques is plotted. The proposed coder is compared with other direct state of art techniques.




Fig. 2. Energy Consumption versus number of nodes

Table 2 compares the proposed method with other direct state of art coding techniques [18][12].

Table 2. Comparison of Techniques

Technique	Energy consumption (mJ)	% Energy Saving
Lecuire et. al [18]	1252.6	87.47
Rehman et. al [12]	411.3	95.88
Proposed method (LMLS)	364.38	96.35

Image is sent in the form of packets from source to destination over network and received at different nodes with some packets lost. Using QualNet simulator, we get the received packets at different intermediate nodes and calculate the packet error rate. The packet error rate is plotted against no. of nodes in Fig.3.

# International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: [www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com)

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017




Fig. 3. Packet error rate versus No. of nodes for LMLS

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a resilient and energy efficient listless SPIHT with lifting wavelet transform is implemented which reduces memory requirement. With low memory requirements, the proposed coder saves 96.35% energy which is best among other coding techniques so far. One direction of future work can be to investigate the link error. Another direction can be to reduce packet loss.

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. F. Akyildiz, T. Melodia, and K. R. Chowdhury, "A Survey on Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks", *Computer Networks* (Elsevier), vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 921–960, 2007.
- [2] T. Melodia and I. F. Akyildiz, "Research challenges for wireless multimedia sensor networks," in *Distributed Video Sensor Networks*. London, U.K.: Springer-Verlag, 2011, pp. 233–246.
- [3] J. Shapiro "Embedded Image coding using zerotrees of wavelets coefficients", *IEEE Transactions on signal processing*, Vol 41, no. 12 , pp. 3445-3462, Dec 1993.
- [4] Amir Said, and Pearlman, W. A. A New, Fast, and Efficient Image Codec Based on Set Partitioning in Hierarchical Trees, *IEEE Trans. Circuit and systems for Video Technology*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 243-250, June 1996.
- [5] F.W.Wheeler and W.A.Pearlman, "SPIHT image compression without lists," *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Acoustic Speech and Signal Processing*, vol. 4, pp. 2047-2050, Jun. 2000.
- [6] Pham D. M., Aziz S.M. :An Energy Efficient Image Compression Scheme for Wireless Sensor Networks:,IEEE, ISSNIP (2013)
- [7] Huaming Wu and Abouzeid A.A.: Energy efficient distributed JPEG2000 image compression in multihop wireless networks: 4th Workshop on Applications and Services in Wireless Networks (ASWN-2004), pages 152–160. (2004)
- [8] Nasri M., Helali A., Sghaier H. , Maaref H., :Energy conservation for image transmission over wireless sensor networks:, IEEE (2011)
- [9] Pham D. M. and Aziz S. M.: Object extraction scheme and protocol for energy efficient image communication over wireless sensor networks: *Computer Networks*, vol. 57, pp. 2949–2960. (2013)
- [10] Nasri M., Helali A., Sghaier H. , Maaref H., : Priority Image Transmission in Wireless Sensor Networks,8th International multi-conference on systems, signals & devices, (2011)
- [11] Chefı A. and. SicardG :SPIHT-based image compression scheme for energy conservation over Wireless Vision Sensor Networks. *IEEE conference* (2014)
- [12] Rehman Y., Tariq M., Sato T.: A Novel Energy Efficient Object Detection andImage Transmission Approach for Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks:. *IEEE sensors journal* (2016)
- [13] Sweldens W.: The lifting scheme: A custom-design construction of biorthogonal wavelets," *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, vol. 3, pp.186- 200, (1996)
- [14] E. Shih, S.H. Cho, N. Ickes, R. Min, A. Sinha, A. Wang, and A. Chandrakasan, .Physical layer driven protocol and algorithm design for energy efficient wireless sensor networks,. in*Proceedings of theSeventh Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing andNetworking (MOBICOM-01)*. ACM Press, July 16.21 2001, pp. 272. 287.
- [15] M. Alam, "New Compression Algorithm for Transmission of Image over Heterogeneous Networks" Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. ECE, Integral Univ., Lucknow, India, Nov. 2014.

## International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: [www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com)

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

- [16] A. Sinha and A. Chandrakasan, "JouleTrack - a web based tool for software energy profiling," in *Design Automation Conference*, 2001, pp. 220.225
- [17] Heinzelman W., R., Chandrakasan, A., and Balakrishnan, H.: Energy-efficient communication protocol for wireless microsensor networks: In *Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences HICSS*, volume 2. (2000)
- [18] V. Lecuire, C. Duran-Faundez, and N. Krommenacker, "Energy-efficient image transmission in sensor networks," *Int. J. Sensor Netw.*, vol. 4, nos. 1–2, pp. 37–47, (2008).